

CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

CRIME AND GANGS BRANCH

3650 SCHRIEVER AVENUE MATHER, CALIFORNIA 95655 Phone: (916) 323-7736 FAX: (916) 323-1756

May 27, 2009

Jeff Rose Project Director Vertical Prosecution Block Grant 910 G Street Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Mr. Rose:

I would like to take the opportunity to thank you for the site visit conducted on May 27, 2009, at the Sacramento District Attorney's, Vertical Prosecution Block Grant (VB) Program. Please find attached my report summarizing the results of the site visit.

In addition to the Performance Site Visit, California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA) Staff was provided the opportunity to get better acquainted with Sacramento District Attorney's Office as well as, other VB personnel, which is always a pleasure.

I found your site to be in good order, and will continue to work with you and other members of the Sacramento District Attorney's Office to ensure the future success of the Vertical Prosecution, Elder Abuse, Statutory Rape, and Career Criminal grant programs.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (916) 323-7736 if I can be of additional assistance to you in the future.

Sincerely,

LEONA LAROCHELLE

Criminal Justice Specialist Crime Suppression Section

Enclosure

Site Visit- Sacramento District Attorney's Office

bc: Stacy Manson-Vegna

Kirby Everhart CalEMA Grant file

VB08060340 file -Sacramento District Attorney's Office

G. FICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES

PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

GRAN	T NUMBER	GRANT AWARD PERIOD	GRANT AWARD AMOUNT		
VB 0806	60340	7/01/08 – 6/30/10	\$504,237		
PROGRAM NA	Mr.	Vertical Process in Dist. C			
PROJECT TITI		Vertical Prosecution Block Gran			
rkojeci IIII	JE:	Elder Abuse, Statutory Rape, Car	eer Criminal		
(1) ADMINIST	TRATIVE AGENCY:	County of Sacramento			
(2) IMPLEME	NTING AGENCY:	District Attorney's Office			
(3) PROJECT	DIRECTOR:	Jeff Rose			
	Address:	901 G Street, Sacramento, CA 95	5814		
	Phone:	(916) 874-6649			
DATE OF VISIT	ſ:	Visit Conducted By: Leona LaRochelle			
PI	ERSON(S) INTERVIE	WED/CONTACTED DURING T			
Date	Name	Title	Telephone/E-Mail Address		
5/27/09		Administrative Analys	The state of the s		
5/27/09		Chief Deputy District Attorney			
5827/09	Ċ.	Chief Administrative Services	(916) 874-6176		
	<u> </u>				
Signature of Čall	EMA Representative C	onducting Visit D	/ 10 09 ate		
Signature of Sect	ion Chief	n.	ate		

PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW								
Α.	Ger	Does the project being visited fit within one of the Following categories? (check only one) [•]	YES	NO	N/A			
	X	2 nd Year; 3 rd Year; Over four years; (Please specify)						
	2.	Operational Documentation Does the project have current versions of the: a. Recipient Handbook b. Grant Award Agreement	XX					
	3.	Goals, Objectives, and Project Activities (Review the project's responses to the goals, objectives, and activities of the Grant Award Agreement)						
		a. Has there been any <u>significant changes</u> in the way the project implements or sustains the objectives, and activities of this program? VB08 State funds cut in 1/2; lost 2 Attorney's LSPA 08 & 09 Funds helped the VB Programs to Continue. Amend/Mods submitted for 2008 and 2009	X					
		b. Is the project making satisfactory progress toward achieving the goals and objectives. If not, please explain.	X					
	4.	Progress Reporting (Review the progress report format, content, and submission requirements)						
		a. Has the project submitted all required reports on time? If not, please explain	X					
		b. Has the project kept accurate source documentation to support statistical data on the PR? Prosecutors fill out numbers on PR's. IT Unit uses The DAMON central Database	X					

[.	PRO	PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW (continued)								
	A.	Ger	neral (continued)							
		5.	Programmatic Source Documentation (Review documents maintained by project that represents data reported on PRs)	YES	NO	N/A				
			a. Has the project developed an information retrieval system that provides accurate data? Use the DAMON system, Prosecutor keep track of Their components data	-						
			b. Does the project use data summary sheets or other concrete documents that validate project Performance or direct support? Use CalEMA Project Report forms and DAMON	X						
		6.	Project Staff Duties & Responsibilities (Assure that project staff have made other project staff available for interviews during the visitation)							
			a. Have all grant funded project staff positions been filled? If no, please explain.	X						
			b. Are job descriptions "project specific" rather than a copy of the county, local agency job classification/position description?		X					
			Use county job descriptionsc. Do project staff meet all special skill certifications required?	X						
			d. Are staff performing duties discussed in the Grant Award Agreement?	X						
			e. Have project staff assumed duties for more than one CalEMA funded project? If yes explain.		X					
			f. Are there any programmatic problems that are unique to this project? If yes, please explain. Not enough funds		X					

п.	ADI	MIN	ISTI	RATIVE REVIEW				
3 %	A.	Ge 1.	nera Pro	gram Files	YES	NO	N/A	
			a.	Is the project familiar with preparation requirements for the following frequently used OES forms:	s			
				(1) CalEMA Form 223, Modifications(2) CalEMA Form 201, Report of Expenditures	XX			
		2.	Per	sonnel Policies				
	Ÿ		а.	Are written personnel policies in place & available tall employees?	to X			
			b.	All policies posted online by intranet Do these policies discuss work hours, compensation rates, including overtime, and benefits; vacation, sic or other leave allowances, hiring and promotional policies?				
	В.	Fin	anci	Well defined and easy access al Requirements				
		1.	Fur	ectional Time Sheets				
			a.	Does the project use the CalEMA Functional Time Sheet for all project positions employed less-than fulltime? All VB employees are 100% time		X		
			b.	Use internal timesheet with code designations Are functional time sheets completed correctly? Use county timesheets			X	
		2.	Dut	ies of the Financial Officer				
			a.	Has the project taken steps to assure that the duties of the financial officer are separate from that of the project director? (Separation of duties) Project Director and financial officer in separate offices. Job classifications attached	X			

II. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)

B.	Fin	ancia	d Requirements (continued)			
		b.	Do the financial officer and project director interact successfully on project expenditure decisions?	Yes	No	N/A
	3.	Pro	gram Match Requirements (Check this block if N/A) >>>		X	
		a.	This project has the following match requirement:			
			Cash match In kind match Combination of the above, approved by CalEMA			X
			Has the project provided documentation that verifies the use of local funds to satisfy match requirements?			X
C.	Fin	ancia	l Source Documentation			
	1.		s the project maintain updated budget pages on all oved grant award modifications?	X		
	2.		s the project maintain Confidential Funds? , are protective safeguards and policies in place?		X	
	3.		oject income is acquired, is it tracked and reported? , please explain			X
	4.	Has	the project submitted Reports of Expenditures on time?	X		
	5.	repor	there other issues concerning project expenditures and rting? If so, please explain. 2008/09 separation of funding sources(VPOO, LSPA)		X	

II.	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)							
	D.	Eq	•	ment	Yes	No	N/A	
		1.	AC	equisition				
			a.	Are equipment purchases authorized budget items?			X	
			b.	Was equipment purchased in accordance with the Grant Award Agreement?			X	
			c.	Does the project maintain inventory control logs of equipment purchased with grant funds?			X	
	E.	State/Federal Administrative Requirements						
		1.	(De	andated State and Federal Programs etermine whether or not the following documents are sted at the site visited)				
			a.	A current Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Policy Statement?	X			
			b.	A current "Harassment or Discrimination in Employment is Prohibited by Law" poster?	X			
			c.	Posted on hallway bulletin board A current Drug-Free Workplace Policy statement? Each employee receives this in employment packet as Well as, it is posted on employee intranet	X			

(Provide a summary of observations, findings, and recommendations made during the visit)

Site visit was commenced at the Sacramento District Attorney's Office, on the 4th floor at Judy Shocklee. Financial Officer's office. Judy Shocklee, Administrative Analyst/Financial Officer and Susan Elliott, Chief Administrative Services and I met at 10:00am. Jeff Rose, Chief Deputy District Attorney, arrived a little bit later. Jeff talked about the Elder Abuse and Statutory Rape component. Stephen Grippi, Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney, oversees the Career Criminal Component. Stephen was not available to meet today, due to scheduling. Jeff spoke passionately about his components of the Vertical Prosecution grant. His unit is able to fund two 100% Attorneys' to supervise each component of the grant.

Significant changes in Project Activities this year (FY 08/09) due to cuts in State General funds, was that two Attorneys were taken off the Statutory Rape component. LSPA 08 funds did help to continue the programs this year.

The project continues to keep accurate records by use of the DAMON Central Database. Each Prosecutor in specific VB component fills out the statistical information for the Progress Reports. Job duty statements are Sacramento County descriptions and each VB component has their unit specific job duties. Each VB Component has 100% Prosecutor assigned to it. The only programmatic problems unique to this project is that there is not enough staffing

I found the award in good order and in compliance to the Grant Award Agreement. This county has spent down all of their VPOO 08 and LSPA 08 funds they have only the balance of the LSPA 09 funds to yet spend.